Telecom/ICT Backup Power – Where is it Going?

Curtis Ashton Training Director American Power Systems LLC (a DC services division of East Penn / Deka Batteries



What We Will Cover (Briefly)

- > Are 3-8 hr + Backups Going to Be Less?
 - > Less or More Reliable UPS?
 - > and UPS Backup Times
- > Distributed vs Centralized Power
- > How to Meet Long Duration Backup Mandates
 > Is Li-ion Getting Safer?
- > Additional Battery Chemistries Available for Telecom



Historical 3-8 hr Battery Backup of Telecom COs/RTs and 5-15 Minutes for IT DataCenters

- 3-4 hrs for COs with Permanent Auto-Start Auto-Transfer Engine-Alternators Allowed Time to Dispatch and Fix Most Engine Problems
 - 8 hrs for Sites w/o Permanent Engine Allowed Extra Time to Retrieve, Tow, & Hook Up Portable Genset
 - these Long Backup Times Possible Because Historic Telecom Heat Loads only 7-35 W/ft²
 - Early IT/DataCenter Backup 15 Mins to Allow Orderly Computing Shutdown w/o Loss of Data
 - Heat Loads High Enough (80-200 W/ft2) that Longer Backup Times Do No Good Because Computing Equipment Will OverHeat after 30-45 Minutes Depending on Heat Load Density
 - Data Loss on Shutdown No Longer an Issue, so 5 Min Backups for Centralized UPS More Common
 - Typically 1-2 Mins to Start All Paralleled Engines and Transfer Loads
 - Distributed UPS Typically 45-90 secs Due to New Power Designs (Fewer Engines to Parallel per "Module")
 - Engine Redundancy (N+1) COs Probably Need This for Reliability if Desire is to Reduce 3-8 hr Backup Time



Reliability of UPS vs DC Plant

- According to Intelec (IEEE PELS) Whitepaper from 1998, Single UPS (1N Architecture) 27x Less Reliable Than Typical 48VDC Plant
 - Most DataCenters Have Adopted Much More Reliable Architectures Nowadays
 - Tier IV DataCenters Have Redundant AC From 2 Different Substations
 - Willing to Operate at Lower UPS Conversion Efficiency in VFI (True Double-Conversion) Mode
 - While Single Flooded String More Reliable than Single VRLA or Li-ion String, Multiple Parallel Strings (that most now Use in Centralized UPS) of the Latter 2 Types are 4x+ More Reliable Than 1 Flooded
 - A/B Powering of Individual Shelves Borrowed from Telecom (They Call it "Dual Cording")
 - Centralized UPS Redundancy
 - 2N or "Catcher" (and/or N+1) Systems
 - Distributed UPS Reduce Single Points of Failure and Decrease Conversion Efficiency Losses
 - Internal Redundancy in Some UPS
 - Transfer to Shared Computing
 - Other Racks or Other DataCenters





Modern IT UPS Reliability Schemes





Dual Conversion (Less Efficient, but Far More Reliable)





Internal Redundancy in All UPS Components





2N Architecture





"Catcher" System









DC Architectures (230 or 380) For Energy Savings





Options to Meet Long Duration Backup Mandates

- More Batteries
 - Lead-Acid Not the Most Space-Efficient
 - Li-ion Potential Fire Code Spacing Issue > 50 kWh
 - And is a Relatively High Fire Risk
 - » Stick with UL 1973 LFP
- On-Site Power Generation w/ Ride-Thru Batteries
 - Engine-Alternators
 - Potential Issues with Diesel Emissions and Noise
 - Natural Gas or Propane?
 - Fuel Cells
 - Hydrogen Storage and Transport Issues
 - Methanol or Propane (LPG) Reformers
 - Fuel Cells Usually Take More Space than ICE and Cost at Least 2-10x

| | Backup type | Wh /kg | Wh /L | float yrs | High° effect | Low ° effect | Maint- enance | thermal run/ walkaway | \$/ kWh |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| I ANON . IN | Li-ion LFP | 95 | 100 | 40.45 | -45% | heater? | 2 | < most Li | 400 |
| | Li-ion LMO | 90 | 120 | 10-15 | | can't do | 3 yrs | | 550 |
| | Li-ion LTO | 80 | | 20 | -35% | | 5 yrs | not yet | 600 |
| | Li-ion NCA | 130 | 120 | 15 | -45% | can't do | 4 yrs | Vac | 700 |
| | Li-ion NMC | 170 | | 10-15 | | | 3 yrs | Tes | 550 |
| | VRLA blocs | 40 | 00 | 2-11 | 5 | 0% | 6-12 mo | old/short | 200 |
| | VRLA 2V | 30 | 90 | 5-17 | -50 /8 | | 0-12 110 | OIQ/SHOIT | 300 |
| | Ni-Cd | 55 | 80 | 25 | -20% | | 18-36 mo | | 625 |
| | NiZn | 70 | 115 | 13 | -30% | | 3 yrs | | 650 |
| | ZnMnO ₂ | 50 | 80 | 10 | -45% | -50% | 2½ yrs | N/A | 300 |
| | Ni-H ₂ | 30 | 35 | 25 | -20% | 0% | 6 yrs | | 650 |







Example Technology Comparison for 72 h Backup Assumptions and Notes

- Outdoor Cabinet to Power
 - 750 W Fairly Constant Power Load @ Nominal -48 VDC
 - Fresno Climate Assumed for Sizing and Lifetime of Batteries
 - LFP Only Technology Requiring Fire Code Spacing > 50 kWh
 - 20 yr Lifespan, assuming post WWII US avg Inflation Rate of 3.74% and ROI of 10%
 - Up Front Costs Include Costs of Cabinet(s) and Install
 - Assumed Height Limits of 72" in ROW
 - 3' Minimum Working Clearances Factored into Space
 - 10' Offset Required for LPG
 - Presently Available NiZn and ZnMnO₂ Products Float Too High (> 60 V)
 - LTO Can Float Correctly, but Presently Available Products Only 35 h @ 750 W
 - Ni-H₂ Could Float Correctly if Electronics Were Designed for it, but Not Yet





Example Technology Comparison 72 h Backup Table

| Technology | Sizing | init\$ | avgLife | Replace\$ | Space | Weight | M\$/yr | NPV |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|---------|----------|
| VRLA monoblocs | 9, 200Ah strings | \$48,000 | 7 yrs | \$21,000 | 100 ft ² | 7,800lbs | \$ 600 | \$77,000 |
| VRLA 2V | 2, 900Ah strings | \$56 <i>,</i> 000 | 13 yrs | \$30,000 | 105 ft ² | 8,800lbs | \$ 300 | \$73,000 |
| LFP | 8, 200Ah mods | \$59 <i>,</i> 000 | 12 yrs | \$32 <i>,</i> 000 | 155 ft ² | 3,400lbs | \$ 100 | \$76,000 |
| Ni-Cd (TelX) | 9, 172Ah strings | \$89,000 | 25 yrs | \$ 0 | 100 ft ² | 5,200lbs | \$ 150 | \$91,000 |
| LPG DC Genset | 6kW; 2 100lb tanks | \$13,000 | 13 yrs | \$ 9,000 | 170 ft ² | 400lbs | \$1,800 | \$39,000 |
| methanol Fuel Cell | 2, 500W; 40L | \$89,000 | 20 yrs | \$ 0 | 75 ft ₂ | 1,200lbs | \$ 900 | \$99,000 |



LPG DC Output Genset





2V VRLA Solution Example





Global Grid-Scale BESS Deployment and Failure Statistics

Is Li-ion Getting Safer?

- LTO is Present Safest Li-Based Chemistry
 - Least Energy Dense & aMore Expensive Li Chemistry
 - Limited Manufacturer's and Products
- LFP is 2nd Safest Li-Based Chemistry
 - Medium Energy Density
 - About Half as Likely to Go Into Thermal Runaway as NCA, LMO, and NMC; and For UL 1973 Listed Modules About ½ as Likely to Have Propagating Thermal Runaway
- Long Term Safety Solution is Solid State (Polymer or Ceramic/Glass Electrolyte) Li-ion
 - Beware of Li-Metal Solid State Solutions (Remember Avestor!)



ources: (1) EPRI Failure Incident Database, (2) Wood Mackenzie. Data as of 12/31/23.

ANTERON



FUE

How to Contact Me

- curtisashton@ampowersys.com
- (720) 845-0846









